



Agenda

Notice of a public meeting of: Joint Devolution Committee (North Yorkshire County Council and City of York Council)

To: Councillors: Keith Aspden, Gareth Dadd, Carl Les and Paula Widdowson
Zoë Metcalfe - Police Fire & Crime Commissioner and Helen Simpson - York and North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership.

Date: Monday, 13th March 2023

Time: 2.00 pm

Venue: Brierley Room, County Hall, Northallerton, DL7 8AD

The meeting will be available to view once the meeting commences, via the following link - www.northyorks.gov.uk/livemeetings Recordings of previous live broadcast meetings are also available there.

Business

1. Welcome by the Chairman, introductions and apologies for absence
- 1(a) Exclusion of the public from the meeting during consideration of each of the items of business listed in Column 1 of the following table on the grounds that they each involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the paragraph(s) specified in column 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 as amended by the Local Government (Access to information)(Variation) Order 2006:

Item number on the agenda	Paragraph Number
7 (Appendix A)	3
8 (Appendices A and B)	3

2. Minutes of the meeting of the committee on 30 November 2022 (Pages 3 - 6)
3. Declarations of Interest
To note any declarations of interest.

4. Public Questions and Statements

Members of the public may ask questions or make statements at this meeting if they have given notice and provided the text to Daniel Harry of Democratic Services (contact details below) no later than 12noon on Wednesday 8 March 2023. Each speaker should limit themselves to 3 minutes on any item. Members of the public who have given notice will be invited to speak:-

- at this point in the meeting if their questions/statements relate to matters which are not otherwise on the Agenda (subject to an overall time limit of 30 minutes); or
- when the relevant Agenda item is being considered if they wish to speak on a matter which is on the Agenda for this meeting.

5. **Key Financial and Implementation Principles - Report of the City of York Council Chief Finance Officer and Head of HR** (Pages 7 - 10)
6. **Wider Devolution Programme Update - Report of the Chief Operating Officer, York and North Yorkshire LEP** (Pages 11 - 16)
7. **Shortlisting of Brownfield Housing Fund Programme Expressions of Interest - Report of the Chief Operating Officer, York and North Yorkshire LEP** (Pages 17 - 34)
8. **Shortlisting of Projects for the Net Zero Fund Programme - Report of the Chief Operating Officer, York and North Yorkshire LEP** (Pages 35 - 58)
9. **Transition to Mayoralty - High Level Costed Implementation Plan - Report of the Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner** (Pages 59 - 62)
10. **Any other business which the Chair agrees should be considered as a matter of urgency because of special circumstances**

Barry Khan
Assistant Chief Executive
(Legal and Democratic Services)

County Hall
Northallerton

Date 3 March 2023

North Yorkshire County Council

Joint Devolution Committee

Minutes of the remote meeting held on Wednesday, 30th November, 2022 commencing at 3.30 pm.

City of York Councillor Keith Aspden in the Chair and County Councillor Carl Les, County Councillor Gareth Dadd and City of York Councillor Paula Widdowson.

Co-optees: Zoë Metcalfe, Police Fire and Crime Commissioner, and Helen Simpson (York and North Yorkshire LEP).

Officers present: Will Boardman, City of York Council; Simon Dennis, Office of the Police Fire and Crime Commissioner; Vicki Dixon, North Yorkshire County Council; James Farrar, York and North Yorkshire LEP; Ian Floyd, City of York Council; Gary Fielding, North Yorkshire County Council; Richard Flinton, North Yorkshire County Council; Daniel Harry, North Yorkshire County Council; Barry Khan, North Yorkshire County Council; Bryn Roberts, City of York Council; .

Copies of all documents considered are in the Minute Book

1 Election of a Chairman

Daniel Harry, the Democratic Services and Scrutiny Manager for North Yorkshire County Council welcomed everyone to the meeting.

Daniel Harry stated that as this was the first meeting of the committee, the first item of business would be the election of a Chairman.

City of York Councillor Paula Widdowson proposed that instead of electing a Chairman and a Vice Chairman, there should be Co-Chairs for the meeting and these should be the Leaders of City of York Council (Councillor Keith Aspden) and North Yorkshire County Council (Councillor Carl Les). The Chairing would be determined by where the meeting was held, with City of York Councillor Keith Aspden chairing meetings in York and County Councillor Carl Les chairing meetings in North Yorkshire.

This was seconded, a vote was taken and on a show of hands Councillors Keith Aspden and Carl Les unanimously elected as Co-Chairs.

Resolved –

That Councillors Keith Aspden and Carl Les be elected as Co-Chairs.

City of York Councillor Keith Aspden in the Chair.

2 Election of a Vice Chairman

It was unanimously agreed that it was no longer necessary to elect a Vice Chairman as Co-Chairs had been elected.

3 Apologies for absence

There were no apologies as all four voting members of the committee were present, as were the two co-opted members.

4 Declarations of Interest

There were none.

5 Public Questions or Statements

There were none.

6 York and North Yorkshire Devolution Programme Management and Joint Committee Terms of Reference - Report of the Chief Operating Officer, York & North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership

Considered -

A report by James Farrar, Chief Operating Officer, York and North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership regarding Programme Management and the Joint Committee Terms of Reference.

Barry Khan, Assistant Chief Executive (Legal and Democratic Services), North Yorkshire County Council made some introductory comments regarding the process of devolution.

City of York Councillor Paula Widdowson queried why non-Executive members could not be nominated as substitutes for this committee.

Barry Khan said that this was because the committee was constituted as a joint committee of the respective council Executives. However, this could be reviewed and the remit of the committee widened, subject to agreement by both councils.

Will Boardman, Head of Corporate Strategy and City Partnerships at City of York Council, introduced the report.

Some of the key points highlighted in the report are as summarised below:

- Following the announcement of the 'minded to' devolution deal on 1 August 2022, plans have been developed to follow the statutory process and develop implementation plans
- A public consultation, which will run for eight weeks, is now underway and will conclude on 16 December 2022
- A Joint Committee has to be in place to oversee the implementation process of the devolution deal. It will have oversight of the development of the York and North Yorkshire Combined Authority subject to approval being made to proceed
- The Institute of Consultation will review the findings of the consultation and provide an independent report for the Constituent Councils to consider before agreeing whether to submit the Scheme to Whitehall for Parliamentary Approval
- It is important to state that a decision has not yet been taken about whether to create a Mayoral Combined Authority for North Yorkshire and York. It is prudent, however, to create a Joint Committee of the constituent councils to ensure there is an appropriate forum for discussions on devolution
- Depending upon the outcome of the consultation, a full implementation plan for the

Mayoral Combined Authority will be presented to the Joint Committee and the full Council meetings of City of York Council and North Yorkshire County Council

City of York Councillor Keith Aspden said that the Terms of Reference need to be amended to reflect the earlier election of Co-Chairs and the discussion around non-Executive substitutes

The Police Fire and Crime Commissioner, Zoe Metcalfe, said that the Office of the Police and Fire Commissioner were opening up a line of discussion with Government regarding the necessary transition work.

City of York Councillor Keith Aspden then turned to the recommendations at paragraph 10.0 of the report and proposed that the Terms of Reference be adopted, subject to previous discussions, and that the approach to programme management be noted.

This was seconded and a vote held. On a show of hands, all four voting members of the committee voted in favour.

Resolved –

- a) That the Terms of Reference at Annex A be approved subject to clarification about whether non-Executive Members can be appointed as substitutes to the committee and that they are amended to reflect the appointment of Co-Chairs, as opposed to a Chair and Vice Chair
- b) That the approach to the programme arrangements currently in place be noted.

7 York and North Yorkshire Brownfield Housing Fund and Net Zero Fund - Report of the Chief Operating Officer, York & North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership

Considered -

A report by James Farrar, Chief Operating Officer, York & North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership regarding the York and North Yorkshire Brownfield Housing Fund and Net Zero Fund.

James Farrar introduced the report and gave an overview of the key points, as summarised below:

- On the 1 August 2022 a Devolution Deal between the Government and the Local Authorities of York and North Yorkshire was announced
- This included the award of £7million 'Net Zero Fund' funding across 2023/24 and 2024/25 and £12.7 million of devolved capital funding across 2023/24 and 2024/25, known as 'Brownfield Housing Fund'
- The two investment programmes have to be delivered by March 2025 and so there is 16 months in which to spend the funding
- There will be a call for proposals launched in December 2022. Projects will then be identified, assessed and prioritised by June 2023 so that expenditure can begin in November 2023
- The prospectuses for both programmes are in the appendices to the report
- The York and North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership currently operates a Green Book compliant assurance process, approved by DLUHC
- The Joint Devolution Committee will make the following decisions within the process: 1) Agree the Project Scope Pack; 2) At the Expression of Interest stage, agrees the prioritised list of shortlisted projects; and 3) A Full Business Plan Stage approves individual investments.

City of York Councillor Paula Widdowson raised concerns about the very tight timeframe for the programme which may then present a number of missed opportunities. She asked what could be done to ensure that as wide a range of projects as possible was considered for funding under the programmes.

James Farrar said that a communications programme would be put in place to ensure that people were made fully aware of the programmes at the earliest possible opportunity.

North Yorkshire County Councillor Carl Les said that this was the first concrete example of what devolution could do for North Yorkshire and York and that it was good to see work focussed upon achievement of net zero emissions.

City of York Councillor Keith Aspden summed up and turned to the recommendations at paragraph 12.0 of the report and proposed that they be adopted.

This was seconded and a vote held. On a show of hands, all four voting members of the committee voted in favour.

Resolved –

That:

- a) The Joint Committee endorsed the prospectuses and process for these two Funds to enable them to progress and call for proposals.
- b) Noted the resource implications for delivering these programmes and that sign off for any expenditure will be approved by the S151 officers in accordance with their devolved responsibilities.

8 Any other business which the Chair agrees should be considered as a matter of urgency because of special circumstances

There was none.

A recording of the meeting is available from the City of York Council website via the following link - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=csjfE53nE9g>

The meeting concluded at 3.50 pm.

YORK AND NORTH YORKSHIRE JOINT DEVOLUTION COMMITTEE

MEETING

13 MARCH 2023

Key Financial and Implementation Principles

Report of the City of York Council Chief Finance Officer and Head of HR

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 To provide the Committee with an outline of the key financial principles and assumptions which underpin the next phase of planning for the Mayoral Combined Authority. These assumptions support the development of a financial model and staffing structure for the new organisation, which will be developed over the coming months, following the approval from both Councils to proceed with the statutory process to create the Combined Authority.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Following the Full Council decisions of City of York Council and North Yorkshire County Council to submit the consultation outcomes to Government, it is necessary to begin planning for the creation of a new Combined Authority. In order to develop both the financial and staffing models for the new organisation, the overall principles upon which they are based must be agreed.
- 2.2 The MCA has a number of statutory functions and statutory posts, and whilst these provide a starting point, there is a significant degree of local choice as to how the Combined Authority is structured and resourced.
- 2.3 From the recent consultation, a commonly shared view is that the Combined Authority should, at its inception, be a lean organisation which attempts to draw on existing resource and capabilities from the constituent councils, existing LEP and OPFCC teams. Whilst this approach can undoubtedly reduce unnecessary additional bureaucratic burden, and maximise the local impact of the funding received, this must be balanced with the need to ensure that the Combined Authority is a high-performing functional organisation, able to respond to requirements to attract, plan and deliver further investment in the area and be adequately resourced to deliver significant projects.
- 2.4 Typically, in other areas with Devolution Deals, Combined Authorities have started small, but have grown once the strategic direction has been set and, critically, once additional funding streams are flowing through the Combined Authority.
- 2.5 The costs associated with PFCC functions are not included within this report, as these functions will transfer with funding and will have a neutral impact on the overall Combined Authority budget.

3.0 KEY FINANCIAL AND IMPLEMENTATION PRINCIPLES

- 3.1 It is proposed that, at this inception of the new Combined Authority, North Yorkshire Council will act as the accountable body. This is necessary as it will not be possible to have the required systems (including bank accounts and payroll) established prior to the

set-up of the Combined Authority. As well as ensuring YNY can receive funding as soon as possible, it will ensure that the cash flow burden on North Yorkshire Council (who are managing the implementation costs in advance for the first tranche of Government funding) is minimised. It should also allow for a smooth transfer, given existing LEP staff and their support services are already provided by North Yorkshire County Council.

- 3.2 It is also proposed that, as far as is feasible, the core costs of the Combined Authority will be contained within the recurring funding available from the Mayoral Capacity Fund (£1m) and the existing BEIS funding for the LEP (£0.25m), giving an annual total of £1.25m. The roles and services associated with this funding are likely to be those which allow the Combined Authority to fulfil its statutory responsibilities and its corporate functions.
- 3.3 The above budget would not, however, cover all the resourcing costs of delivering the functions of the Combined Authority. In addition to the core costs, the following would need to be considered:
- i. Fully funded LEP programme activity. These programmes either have funding through to March 2025, or are established and renewed annually.
 - ii. Commitments which are required to implement the devolution deal and could reasonably be considered programme activity and charged to relevant devolution funding streams.
 - iii. Activity which is currently unfunded. If YNY wish to progress these areas, it would be subject to a full business case to be considered as an investment by the Joint Committee through the Mayoral Investment Fund.
- 3.4 The level of support required by each programme would be considered as the plans develop and so a flat percentage top slice of programme budgets is not recommended at present. Under this model the core £1.3m CA budget will also need to include a contingency for funding of potentially abortive costs where programmes do not bring in the anticipated funding.
- 3.5 In the initial set up period, it is anticipated that the Combined Authority will receive its support services from either constituent council unless a clear specialist need has been identified that can't be fulfilled by either council.
- 3.6 Based on the principles above, work will continue developing clear options for the staffing model and the supporting financial model.

4.0 CONCLUSION

- 4.1 Given the need to develop the operating model of the Combined Authority, the committee is asked to review the principles above and provide comments. This will allow further work to draw up more detailed options.

5.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The financial implications are included in section 3 above.

6.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 There are no direct legal implications of the recommendations.

7.0 CLIMATE CHANGE IMPLICATIONS

7.1 There are no direct climate change implications of the recommendations.

8.0 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

8.1 There are no direct equalities implications of the recommendations.

9.0 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 To allow work to progress on developing detailed staffing and financial models.

10.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1 Given the need to develop the operating model of the Combined Authority, the Committee is asked to review the principles above and provide comments.

Will Boardman, Head of Corporate Strategy and City Partnerships, City of York Council.

21/02/2023

This page is intentionally left blank

JOINT DEVOLUTION COMMITTEE MEETING

MONDAY 13 MARCH 2023

Wider Devolution Programme Update

Report of the Chief Operating Officer, York and North Yorkshire LEP

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 This report provides an update on implementation of the commitments within the York and North Yorkshire Devolution Deal, excluding the Brownfield and Net Zero Funding which are covered in a separate paper.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Within the York and North Yorkshire Devolution Deal, published August 2022 there were a range of commitments across:

- Finance and Investment
- Education and Skills
- Housing and Land
- Transport
- Net Zero, Climate Change and Natural Capital
- Public Service Reform
- Resilience and Public Safety
- Culture and Tourism
- Digital
- Innovation, Trade and Investment.

- 2.2 Work to progress these commitments is taking place across both City of York Council, North Yorkshire Council, the York and North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership and several government departments/agencies.

3.0 Finance & Investment.

3.1 Mayoral Investment Fund

- Development of set of priorities (Economic Framework),
- 5 Year Gateway Assessment & Evaluation Framework
- £9m received Dec 23; £18m pa from May 24

A project is underway, led by the York and North Yorkshire LEP, in collaboration with City of York and North Yorkshire Economic Development Teams to develop an economic framework and economic intelligence hub. This collaboration will develop a clear set of priorities alongside baseline economic data against which the five year gateway will be assessed.

3.2 Shared Prosperity Fund

- Est. £13m pa subject to CSR
- The MCA will lead local planning and delivery of the SPF from 2025/26.

The current Shared Prosperity Funds are being delivered by City of York and North Yorkshire Councils. Development of the prospectus for 2025/25 will not be required until 2024/25.

3.3 Wider financial instruments including borrowing powers

Agreement of borrowing powers cannot be agreed until the Mayor is elected and in office.
No work underway at present.

4.0 Skills & Education

4.1 Adult Education Budget (from academic year 2025/26)

1. Government will consult with York and North Yorkshire on a funding formula for calculating the size of the grant to be paid to the York and North Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority
2. statutory tests must be met.
3. Learner protection arrangements are must be agreed between parties.

A joint working team across City of York Council, North Yorkshire Council and the LEP is in place. Advice from other MCA's is to allow at least two years to become 'Investment ready'. Early discussions have been held with Department for Education and Education Skills Funding Agency regarding to provide clarity around the parameters of the fund. It is expected that local match funding will be required to secure the capacity funding from Department for Education.

. Activity has included:

- Fact finding involving discussions with colleagues at North of Tyne MCA, West Yorkshire MCA and Tees Valley MCA to understand what works well as these all currently have devolved AEB
- Discussions with learning providers and in particular the Further Education colleges. This looked at those that already access AEB from other areas and also lessons learnt. Early involvement and engagement with the learning providers is essential moving forward.
- The working group has recommended development of a framework of skills needs and provision in the area. This will help to align the existing skills strategies across York and North Yorkshire. The intention is to develop this further over the coming months so that partners have a good understanding of the current skills landscape.
- Project Plan being drafted setting out the process and key stages in establishing an operating model for AEB in York and North Yorkshire.

4.2 Local Skills Implementation Plans

York and North Yorkshire will support and provide input into the Local Skills Improvement Plan (LSIP) for the area.

City of York and North Yorkshire Council sit on the LSIP Board and Steering Group alongside the LEP who are also providing an evidence base funded through the Skills Advisory Panel, established and funded within LEPs.

5.0 Housing & Land

5.1 £12.7m Brownfield Funding – See separate paper for details.

5.2 Future Pipeline

York and North Yorkshire and Homes England are committed to working collaboratively – combining their skills and capacity – to develop a pipeline for the region. This will be underpinned by a clear Action Plan.

City of York Council and North Yorkshire Council have long established relationship with Homes England. Homes England are working proactively with both Authorities to agree a model for joint working.

5.3 York Central Enterprise Zone Extension

City of York have submitted a business case and HMT ministers have now given provisional agreement to an extension of the York Central Enterprise Zone, subject to the agreement of a final business case including in relation to the length of the extension. Discussions are ongoing to secure the final details.

6.0 Transport

- 6.1 The Joint Officer Working Group has now met a total of six times and at the latest meeting a workshop was held on the development of proposals for the Key Route Network. A paper is to be developed for consideration which will allow the Joint Committee to approve the KRN for York and North Yorkshire and it is planned to bring this paper to members in Autumn 2023.
- 6.2 Work also continues on the development of the Local Transport Plan with national guidance still yet to be published but expected in March 2023. The timetable for devolution dictated that we were not able to wait for the guidance to be published before starting work on the LTP however, officers are confident that the work to date is all in accordance with the anticipated guidance. The County Council is planning to commence a consultation exercise towards the end of March 2023 as part of the 'Lets' Talk.....' series and the programmes for both local authorities will merge in the Autumn.
- 6.3 Work on the development of a joint major schemes pipeline and Strategic Highway Asset Management Plan continues.

7.0 Net Zero, Climate Change and Natural Capital

7.1 £7m Net Zero Fund – See separate paper

7.2 Single Conversation with Government

The York and North Yorkshire LEP maintain an ongoing relationship with the Yorkshire & North East Energy Hub which is hosted by Tees Valley Combined Authority. This includes an embedded post within the YNY LEP. Given the changes at a national level (creation of a new Department of Energy Security and Net Zero) not further national engagement until greater clarity is received.

7.3 The Government will also explore the opportunities and feasibility of investing to pilot an innovative approach to funding smaller scale feasibility studies in a predominantly rural area, creating a recyclable investment model.

No progress made to date.

7.4 Government commits to explore the potential benefits of and design options for a place-based approach to delivering retrofit measures,

City of York Council, North Yorkshire Council and the LEP have been collaborating to develop proposals linked to local housing retrofit and supply chains. No engagement with government at this stage.

7.5 Natural Capital Investment Plan

York and North Yorkshire have submitted a bid to DEFRA to participate in a Local Investment in Natural Capital pilot programme. If successful, this will include:

- developing a Natural Capital Investment Plan,
- Building a pipeline of investment proposals; and
- Co-designing of a local investment vehicle and accelerator

A decision is expected March 23.

8.0 Public Service Reform

- 8.1 No further discussions until the MCA is established.

9.0 Resilience and Public Safety

9.1 Once established the MCA will become a member of the Local Resilience Forum

10.0 Culture and Tourism

10.1 Culture & Heritage

- Develop and deliver strategies to realise the region's cultural potential, using the new combined authority as an opportunity for a strategic appraisal of the current culture and leisure provision
- Work with Arts Council England and other local partners to identify how the CA can support an increase in cultural opportunity in the Selby District Area ('Levelling Up for Culture' place)
- Take forward the recommendations of the Celebrating our Distinctive Heritage report (including the direction of investment spending towards agreed priorities).

Through Local Government Reorganisation North Yorkshire Council have appointed a senior post to lead culture and heritage. In City of York, Make it York take the strategic lead. Once in place, joint working and collaboration will be explored working jointly with the government Arms Length Bodies.

10.2 Tourism

- Work with DCMS to explore the best structures and geographies for future Destination Management and Marketing interventions.

North Yorkshire Council and Make it York are collaborating and working with Visit England to explore and develop future tourism structures in the region.

11.0 Digital

Government will engage with the York and North Yorkshire Combined Authority on a regular basis, on delivery plans in the region.

Responsibility for digital infrastructure has moved to the newly formed Department for Science, innovation and technology. The priorities of the new department include:

Promote a diverse research and innovation system that connects discovery to new companies, growth and jobs – including by delivering world-class physical and digital infrastructure (such as gigabit broadband), making the UK the best place to start and grow a technology business and developing and attracting top talent.

A relationship with the new department will be formed once it is fully established with structures in place.

12.0 Innovation, Trade and Investment

12.1 BioYorkshire

A cross department working group including Innovate UK and UKRI is established and in place working with the BioYorkshire Steering Group (University of York, FERA Science, Askham Bryan College, City of York Council & YNY LEP).

Work in underway to refine the BioYorkshire proposal developing a clear routemap whilst engaging with the new government departments linked to national initiatives such as Innovation Clusters and Innovation Corridors.

12.2 Scarborough Cyber Security Cluster

Scarborough Borough Council, Coventry University (Scarborough) and Plexal have been working collaboratively to develop the cyber proposition for North Yorkshire. Pilot activity is currently planned to engage both communities and industry which will further shape the proposition and enable engagement with the new government department for Science, Innovation & Technology.

13.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

13.1 There are no negative financial implications for both local authorities. Where costs and capacity are required they will be considered through the Mayoral Capacity Funding.

14.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

14.1 No legal implications at this stage.

15.0 CLIMATE CHANGE IMPLICATIONS

15.1 None at this stage, however the work on net zero should have a positive impact in the medium term.

16.0 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

16.1 No equalities implications are anticipated at this stage.

17.0 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

17.1 No Decisions are sought.

18.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

18.1 This is an update paper and no decisions are sought at this point.

James Farrar, Chief Operating Officer, York & North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership

21/02/2023

This page is intentionally left blank

JOINT DEVOLUTION COMMITTEE

MEETING

MONDAY 13 MARCH 2023

Shortlisting of Brownfield Housing Fund Programme Expressions of Interest (Eols)

Report of the Chief Operating Officer, York and North Yorkshire LEP

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 This report provides an overview of the Brownfield Housing Fund (BHF) process and requests approval to the recommendations on the Expressions of Interest (Eols) which were submitted for the fund. This includes the option to proceed to Full Business Case (FBC) or be added to the pipeline for future funding opportunities.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Within the York and North Yorkshire Devolution Deal, published August 2022, it was agreed: “As a mayoral combined authority, the York and North Yorkshire Combined Authority will be awarded £12.7 million of devolved capital funding across 2023/24 and 2024/25 to support the building of new homes on brownfield land, subject to sufficient eligible projects for funding being identified.”
- 2.2 To progress with the brownfield funding, prior to the York and North Yorkshire Combined Authority (YNY MCA) being established, the Devolution Joint Committee agreed an interim process at the 30 November 2022 Joint Committee meeting. This agreement was for the York and North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership to administer the fund, in advance of the creation of the YNY MCA on behalf of the proposed Constituent Councils. The process is being run at risk (until, and dependent on, the establishment of the YNY MCA), in order to maximise the preparedness of projects to deliver by March 2025.

3.0 ASSESSMENT PROCESS

- 3.1 The BHF launched on 3 January 2023 with a call for Expressions of Interest (Eol). This was primarily for proposals that will help to deliver this initial funding allocation. However, applicants were also encouraged to submit proposals for future years, in order to build a pipeline for future funding.
- 3.2 The deadline for Expressions of Interest (Eol) was the 6 February 2023, after which proposals were assessed against the criteria included within the fund’s [Prospectus](#), such as deliverability, rationale for funding, and risk. On the basis of the Eol assessment and prioritisation, a list of proposals recommended to progress to Full Business Case was produced and included in Appendix A. This list also includes a small number that have been recommended for the future pipeline, due to a lack of deliverability within the required timeframes and insufficient information within their Eol (i.e. BHF funding required has not been clarified). In some cases, stakeholders did not submit a formal Eol, as part of the process, but have acknowledged a future interest to engage with the MCA. Where this has occurred, they have also been noted on the pipeline.

- 3.3 Based on feedback from applicants, there have been some challenges and confusion with the assessment criteria that was set, linked to the output definition of 'home starts'. It was originally proposed in the [BHF FAQ](#) that this meant that applicants would be required to lay the foundations for a house, by March 2025, in order to classify it as an output. A similar definition is used by South Yorkshire Combined Authority and North of Tyne Combined Authority, whom were engaged as part of the development process to understand how the fund has previously operated in other geographies. Although this approach may provide greater assurance on housing completions, applicants felt that it was highly restrictive and posed deliverability risks, particularly as the proposed YNY MCA will have a shorter timeframe than other combined authorities to deliver the fund. It was highlighted that Homes England funding uses an alternative definition, looking at 'start on site', which is triggered when:
- The provider and the building contractor have entered into a building contract
 - The building contractor takes possession of the site or property
 - The works have commenced.
- 3.4 The Department for Levelling-Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) confirmed that the standard definition, as applied by Homes England, can be used regarding the reporting of home starts. For these reasons, the Joint Committee should note that the definition will be updated to reflect the 'start on site' definition, aligning with Homes England, in order to provide some flexibility to applicants, particularly considering the restrictive timeframes. Applicants will be noted of this amendment in due course, but this is still subject to a formal funding agreement. It should also be noted that applicants were encouraged to submit an EOI, regardless of timelines for their outputs, as this would be added to a future pipeline list, if it was not appropriate for the BHF.
- 3.5 The level of Eols proposed for Full Business Cases will result in the fund being oversubscribed. However, over-commitment has been allowed as it is anticipated that there will be some drop-out within the Full Business Case process.
- 3.6 If required, there is potential to further reduce the number of shortlisted Eol proposals and undertake further prioritisation. But this may require further engagement with the applicant and information collection, so ultimately, it is recommended that the majority are taken forward to the FBC stage based on current information provided.
- 3.7 Where multiple schemes are being proposed by the same organisation and there is a clear rationale for doing so, it is recommended that a programme approach is taken and the schemes are bundled together. This is primarily due to a number of small, similar schemes, which could create an administrative burden, particularly with detailed appraisal and contracting, if not combined. This would also enable the applicant to complete a reduced number of FBCs.
- 3.8 Before the shortlisted Eol proposals can proceed to FBC, approval from the Devolution Joint Committee is required. With approval from the Devolution Joint Committee, applicants of these proposals will then be notified to submit a Full Business Case by 31 May 2023.

4.0 SHORTLISTED EOIs

- 4.1 A total of 42 Eols were submitted from a range of organisations, including local authorities, registered providers and private developers. Submissions varied between completion of the formal Eol (that was designed for the BHF process), an informal list of sites that would be suitable for the pipeline, and informal engagement to show a desire to engage with the

MCA in the future. As such, not all of the schemes were suitable to proceed to FBC. Assessment against the fund’s eligibility criteria also meant that some schemes were better suited to the pipeline list.

4.2 The below table provides an overview of the level and location of the shortlisted Eols that have been recommended to proceed to FBC:

	To proceed to Full Business Case (FBC)		
	CoYC (Geographical area)	NYCC (Geographical area)	TOTAL
BHF Funding Requested	£17,922,000	£6,410,841	£24,332,841
BHF Ask per Dwelling (average)	£15,611	£16,189	£15,760
New Homes Developed	1,148	396	1,544
Eol Applications	15	13	28

4.3 The BHF has a maximum allocation of £12.7m, which will cover both project and management costs, so the fund is currently oversubscribed.

4.4 The appendix includes further details on the Eols that have been shortlisted for FBC and those that should be included within a pipeline list. The appendix is considered confidential due to commercial sensitivities.

5.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no negative financial implications for both local authorities. Where costs and capacity are required for programme management (e.g. appraisals), this will be charged from the fund.

5.2 Expenditure for the relevant scheme, can be reclaimed through the fund, but these costs will be at the applicant’s risk until and dependent on the establishment of the YNY MCA and schemes are contracted. It is anticipated that applicants will be able to reclaim costs incurred after 1 April 2023 prior to the establishment of the YNY MCA, however final confirmation is awaited on this point. The constituent councils (City of York Council and North Yorkshire County Council) represented on the Devolution Joint Committee will not be liable for these risks. As stated within the fund’s Prospectus, ‘all activity and expenditure, up to the order being passed by Government, is undertaken at the applicant’s risk’.

5.3 At this stage in the process, no investment will be made via the BHF. Further information and prioritisation is required through the FBC stage, aligned with the LEP’s assurance process (the interim process for this fund until the YNY MCA is established).

6.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Minimum legal implications are anticipated at this stage but the opportunity for applicants to make appeals against their Eol outcome has been built into the process. When applicants are updated on the decisions taken at the Devolution Joint Committee meeting in March 2023, they will be notified of the timeframes to appeal. However, as the majority of Eols are recommended to progress to FBC, unless deliverability in the timeframes is unfeasible or a lack of information was provided, the level of appeals is expected to be low, if at all.

- 6.2. Any implications from changing 'house starts' to 'start on site' definition has been considered. However, as applicants were encouraged to submit an EoI regardless of delivery timeframes (as it could be included within the future pipeline), this has been considered low risk.
- 6.3. All activity is still subject to a formal funding agreement, which is still to be received from DLUHC.

7.0 CLIMATE CHANGE IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 As the fund has been established to support housing development, it is anticipated that some emissions will be produced via construction methods and transportation that cannot be avoided. However, the fund does encourage projects that align with local strategic needs such as low carbon homes, enhanced energy efficiency, modern methods of construction (e.g. modular homes to reduce emissions), and this will be considered as part of the assessment process, particularly at the Full Business Case stage. Schemes that provide minimal climate impacts will be prioritised.

8.0 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

- 8.1 No equalities implications are anticipated at this stage. It should also be noted that the fund encourages more affordable housing to be included within proposals, in order to provide more equal opportunities for people to access housing. Although the fund has been promoted to rural locations, it is still restricted to brownfield land only. So, some rural locations may not fully benefit from this fund, but equally, they should not experience an adverse impact as a result.

9.0 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 9.1 Recommendations have been based on the fund's assessment criteria, including need for intervention and value for money, deliverability and risk analysis.

10.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1 Recommendations have been made on which EoIs should proceed to FBC and which should be included in the pipeline for future funding. Details on this are included within the Appendix, which is confidential due to commercial sensitivities. The Joint Committee are asked to agree this approach.

Kate McHugh, Research & Evaluation Officer, York & North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership

20/2/23

Appendices

Appendix A - Recommendations for the Brownfield Housing Fund's Expressions of Interest
(Confidential)

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

JOINT DEVOLUTION COMMITTEE

MEETING

MONDAY 13 MARCH 2023

Shortlisting of Projects for the Net Zero Fund Programme

Report of the Chief Operating Officer, York and North Yorkshire LEP

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 This report provides an update on the delivery of the Net Zero Fund (NZF), including:
- Background to the Fund
 - Launch of the Fund
 - Assessment Process for Applications
 - Shortlisted Projects
- 1.2 This report seeks:
- (1) Approval of the initial prioritised list of revenue projects, which will form the basis of further discussions with applicants, and a revised list of projects will be presented at a future Joint Committee meeting;
 - (2) Approval of the initial prioritised list of capital projects and for these to progress to full business case stage; and
 - (3) Approval for the prioritised revenue and capital projects to form the basis of the programme level business case that will be submitted to Government.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Within the proposed York and North Yorkshire Devolution Deal, published August 2022, it was agreed “The Government will provide £7 million investment that will enable the area of York and North Yorkshire to drive green economic growth towards their ambitions to be a carbon negative region. This investment is subject to agreement of a business case from the area”.
- 2.2 To ensure readiness to deliver this funding prior to the York and North Yorkshire Combined Authority (YNY MCA) being established, the Devolution Joint Committee agreed an interim process at the 30th November 2022 Joint Committee meeting. This agreement was for the York and North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership to administer the fund, on behalf of the YNY CA. The process is being run at risk (until the YNY MCA is established), in order to maximise the preparedness of projects to deliver by March 2025.
- 2.3 At the 30th November 2022 Joint Committee meeting, the prospectus for the Net Zero Fund was signed-off. This included agreement of the purpose and scope of the funding, as summarised below:
- **Project Development Support** (£1m revenue funding): The Fund will support the development of projects to enable us to build an investible pipeline of projects that will make a significant contribution to delivering net zero, energy security and economic growth. The fund will support project development activities, including project scoping, community engagement, feasibility studies and business case development.

- **Capital Grants for Project Delivery** (£6m capital funding): The fund will enable the delivery of net zero projects that otherwise would not happen. The fund will provide capital grants to deliver net zero projects.

3.0 **NET ZERO FUND LAUNCH**

- 3.1 The Net Zero Fund was launched on 3rd January 2023, with a call for full applications for the revenue strand and Expressions of Interests (Eol) for the capital strand.
- 3.2 The Y&NY LEP team hosted a webinar for applicants on the 5 January, with over 80 attendees.
- 3.3 The deadline for applications and EOI was 12pm on the 6 February 2023. 52 applications were received for the revenue strand, and 61 applications were submitted for the capital strand.
- 3.4 The table below provides a breakdown of funding requested for the project development (revenue) strand and the project delivery capital (strand).

Table. 1 Summary of total requested amount from the NZF Project Development (Revenue) Strand & Project Delivery (Capital) Strand

	CYC (Geographical area)	NYCC (Geographical area)	TOTAL
NZF Project Development (Revenue) - Requested Funding	£1,206,839.80	£3,681,369.00	£4,888,262.80
NZF Project Delivery (Capital) - Requested Funding	£9,240,101.00	£34,786,636.27	£44,026,737.27

4.0 **ASSESSMENT PROCESS**

- 4.1 An assessment panel was created made up of representatives from the Y&NY LEP, NYCC, CYC and the North East and Yorkshire Net Zero Hub. Each panellist individually assessed each application based on the Gateway Criteria and Assessment criteria, as set out in the Prospectus and summarised in the table below.

Table 2. Summary of NZF Gateway and Wider Assessment Criteria

Gateway Criteria (Yes OR No)	Other Assessment Criteria (Scored between 0-10)
Support net zero	Need for Intervention and VfM: Projects must address a market failure and provide a clear rationale why the project would not otherwise be delivered
Provide communal or public benefit	Impact: Project should deliver/lead to substantial impacts, including: Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions reduction or sequestration; low carbon energy generation; economic and social benefits (e.g. creation of high quality jobs, community wealth building).
Evidence the rationale for public sector intervention	Deliverability: Be deliverable with a clear plan, capacity, expertise and additional funding (where required) in place to deliver the proposed project within the timescales of the fund

Be delivered and funding drawn down by 31 March 2025	Risks: Consider all risks and identify mitigating actions
Must be located in York and North Yorkshire	Local Strategic Fit: Show evidence of local support including alignment with Local Authority Climate Change Strategies (i.e. York Climate Change Strategy, North Yorkshire Council's Climate Change work), Local Area Energy Plans and planning policy.
	Regional Strategic Fit: Contribute towards the strategic priorities within York & North Yorkshire's Routemap to Carbon Negative, as outlined on the previous page.

4.2 A consensus session was then held on the 16th February 2023 to agree overall scores and recommend projects to prioritise.

5.0 **OUTCOME OF ASSESSMENT: SHORTLISTED PROJECTS**

5.1 Based upon the assessment of **project development (revenue) applications**, projects have been prioritised into the following categories:

- High Priority – Projects to be progressed
- Mid Priority – Reserve list
- Low Priority – Reject/incomplete/fail gateway

5.2 The full list of revenue projects is included in Appendix A. The appendix is considered confidential due to commercial sensitivities. The table below provides an overview of the location of high priority shortlisted projects that have been recommended to proceed:

		To proceed		
		CYC (Geographical area)	NYCC (Geographical area)	TOTAL
NZF Requested Funding		£443,500.00	£1,131,604.00	£1,575,104.00
Total Funding		£470,500.00	£1,397,644.00	£1,868,144.00
Routemap Strategic Priorities	Energy Projects	£343,500.00	£424,000.00	£767,500.00
	Heat & Buildings Projects	£100,000.00	£227,240.00	£327,240.00
	Industry & Business	-	£201,364.00	£201,364.00
	Transport	-	£140,000.00	£140,000.00
	Land Use & Marine	-	£139,000.00	£139,000.00

5.3 Based upon the assessment of **project delivery (capital) EoIs**, projects have been prioritised into the following categories:

- High Priority
- Medium – High Priority
- Medium Priority
- Medium- Low Priority – Reserve list
- Low Priority - Reject/incomplete/fail gateway (Potential Pipeline)

Progress projects to Full Business Case Stage

5.4 The full list of capital projects is included in Appendix B. The table below provides an overview of the location of shortlisted projects that have been recommended to proceed:

		To proceed to Full Business Case (FBC)		
		CYC (Geographical area)	NYCC (Geographical area)	TOTAL
NZF Requested Funding		£5,811,401.00	£11,204,355.27	£17,015,756.27
Total Funding		£9,678,793.00	£18,257,797.84	£27,936,590.84
Routemap Strategic Priorities	Energy Projects	£647,350.00	£4,382,000.00	£5,029,350.00
	Heat & Buildings Projects	£2,728,193.00	£2,728,193.00	£4,053,193.00
	Industry & Business Projects	£3,039,051.00	-	£3,039,051.00
	Transport Projects	-	£3,574,572.02	£3,574,572.02
	Land Use & Marine Projects	£800,000.00	£519,590.00	£1,319,590.00

5.5 The prioritisation of projects for both the revenue and capital strands leads to a high level of over-subscription beyond the £7m funding available. This is recommended due to the majority of applicants requesting a high proportion of their projects costs to be covered through the NZF. The NZF must be considered as “last resort” funding and, therefore, it is expected that the proportion of requested funding to be significantly lowered as the capital projects progress to full business case stage.

5.6 The level of over-subscription also provides mitigation in the case of applicants withdrawing projects.

5.7 It should be noted that the £7m will also be used to fund programme management costs.

5.8 Approval of the initial **prioritised list of revenue projects** is requested, so that the team can have further discussions with applicants and further refine the list of projects. A revised list of projects will be presented to a future Joint Committee meeting. It is also worth noting that the assessment of the project proposals identified a clear need for a wider programme focused on producing decarbonisation plans for buildings and estates. Although these proposals have not been shortlisted for the NZF, the Y&NY LEP team will work with these organisations to develop a future programme.

5.9 Before the **shortlisted capital EoI proposals** can proceed to FBC, approval from the Devolution Joint Committee is required. With approval from the Devolution Joint Committee, applicants of these proposals will then be notified to submit a Full Business Case by 31 May 2023.

6.0 **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

6.1 There are no negative financial implications. Where extra costs and capacity are required for programme management of the fund (including appraisals), this will be charged from the £7m allocated by Government.

- 6.2 As stated within the fund’s Prospectus, ‘all activity and expenditure, up to the order being passed by Government, is undertaken at the applicant’s risk’.
- 6.3 At this stage in the process, no investment will be made via the NZF. Further information and prioritisation is required through the FBC stage, aligned with the LEP’s assurance process (the interim process for this fund until the MCA is established).

7.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 Minimum legal implications are anticipated at this stage but the opportunity for applicants to make appeals against their proposal outcome has been built into the process. When applicants are updated on the decisions taken at the Devolution Joint Committee meeting in March 2023, they will be notified of the timeframes to appeal.

8.0 CLIMATE CHANGE IMPLICATIONS

- 8.1 The Net Zero Fund has been established to support York and North Yorkshire reach its ambition to be a carbon negative region, and hence address climate change. The delivery of the NZF will make a significant contribution to reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHGs), alongside improving natural carbon sequestration.

9.0 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 No equalities implications are anticipated at this stage. As part of the assessment process, each project has been required to pass a gateway check of ensuring the project will deliver public or communal benefit. This will ensure the benefits of each project will not only accrue to a single organisation or individual.

10.0 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1 As referenced in ‘4.0 Assessment Process’, recommendations for shortlisted projects have been based on assessment criteria, including need for intervention and value for money, deliverability, impact, risk analysis and strategic fit.

11.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 11.1 This report recommends:

- (1) Approval of the initial prioritised list of revenue projects, which will form the basis of further discussions with applicants, and a revised list of projects will be presented to a future Joint Committee meeting;
- (2) Approval of the initial prioritised list of capital projects and for these to progress to full business case stage; and
- (3) Approval for the prioritised revenue and capital projects to form the basis of the programme level business case that will be submitted to Government.

Katie Thomas, York & North Yorkshire Local Enterprise Partnership
20/2/23

Appendices

- Appendix A - Recommendation for the prioritised revenue projects for the Net Zero Fund (Confidential)
- Appendix B - Recommendation for the prioritised capital projects for the Net Zero Fund (Confidential)

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

Joint Devolution Committee

13 March 2023

Police, Fire & Crime Commissioner for North Yorkshire (PCC and PFCC Fire & Rescue Authority)

Transition to Mayoralty - High Level Costed Implementation Plan

1. Purpose of the Report

- 1.1. This report and the published High Level Costed Implementation Plan has been prepared on behalf of the North Yorkshire Police, Fire & Crime Commissioner. The Commissioner is at present the elected local policing body (PCC) and fire and rescue authority (PFCCFRA).
- 1.2. The Plan sets out the approach to be taken to effect the transfer of powers and duties of the PCC and the PFCCFRA, to the Mayor. The Plan also sets out the approach to the transfer of staff and assets of the Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner (i.e. the OPFCC) and the PFCCFRA (i.e. the Fire and Rescue Service) to the Mayoral Combined Authority.
- 1.3. The Plan also outlines in brief the Statutory Officer Framework in relation to the PCC as Local Policing Body and PFCC as Fire & Rescue Authority.

2. Executive Summary

- 2.1. Devolution for York and North Yorkshire will entail the first ever transfer of an existing single elected Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner model, to a directly-elected Mayor.
- 2.2. The Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner and her Office (working closely with North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Service and North Yorkshire Police) are extending full support to the devolution programme and have put in place a Costed Implementation Plan ('the Plan') to effect the transfer of the powers and duties of the PCC (the elected local policing body) and the PFCCFRA (the fire and rescue authority) to the Mayor. The Plan also sets out the approach to the transfer of staff and assets of the Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner (i.e. the OPFCC) and the PFCCFRA (i.e. the Fire and Rescue Service) to the Mayoral Combined Authority.
- 2.3. The Plan sets out the governance arrangements put in place to deliver the PCC and PFCC FRA transition programme, including the strategic direction and decision making board, the Strategic Steering Group of which I am a member.
- 2.4. The Plan is based on the core principle approved by Members of the York and North Yorkshire Devolution Committee on 30 November 2022 in respect of the approach to be taken to transition of the Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner to the future

Mayorality. In particular, the *York and North Yorkshire Devolution Programme Management and Joint Committee Terms of Reference* paper provided for a core principle as follows:

The Police, Fire & Crime Commissioner is an existing legal entity (two corporations sole – the Local Policing Body and the Fire & Rescue Authority). The PFCC also has independent income streams including the police and fire levy. The core principle within implementation is therefore that the PFCC will remain a ringfenced entity sitting within the MCA, retaining [its] existing governance, structures and collaborations. This will minimise risk and support continuity of delivery

- 2.5.** That core principle has been adopted as a Key Principle and Goal within the OPFCC Transition Programme and is fundamental to ensuring the smooth and timely transfer of the PFCC functions, in particular continuity of all existing services to the public including fire and rescue services provided by NYFRS, commissioned services provided for victims and the vulnerable and the full suite of governance and customer services undertaken by the OPFCC. The approach has become referred to for brevity within the context of devolution as a ‘plug and play’ approach to transfer of the functions, powers and duties of the Commissioner including the smooth transfer of the personnel and infrastructure necessary to discharge those functions.
- 2.6.** Also consistent with the above core principle, is the work presently underway to explore the scope for the Mayor to have the option to preserve the existing statutory officer structures of the OPFCC, including
- 2.6.1. Chief Executive & Monitoring Officer arrangements in line with Delivering through Your Chief Executive and Monitoring Officer¹ and the forthcoming non-statutory guidance which will succeed it; and
- 2.6.2. Established arrangements for Monitoring Officer provision to the Fire and Rescue Authority; and
- 2.6.3. Chief Finance Officer arrangements for the proper administration of the financial affairs of policing fire and crime, in line with the Financial Management Code of Practice²
- 2.7.** Correspondence with Government indicates that the point is understood and well received. Our understanding at the point of writing this report, is that active consideration is being given to the point by civil servants including Home Office lawyers.
- 2.8.** The Chief Executive & Monitoring Officer, the Chief Finance Officer and the Chief Fire Officer in particular have extended their full cooperation to colleagues from City of York Council, North Yorkshire County Council and the Local Enterprise Partnership so that the fullest possible suite of information is available to them to

¹ [Delivering through your chief executive and monitoring officer \(publishing.service.gov.uk\)](https://publishing.service.gov.uk)

² [Financial management code of practice - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk)

progress outline organisational design principles for the Mayoral Combined Authority.

2.9. The 'OPFCC (& PFCC FRA) Transition to MCA: High Level Costed Implementation Plan' has been adopted by the Commissioner and published under cover of Decision Notice 03/2023³. It covers the following areas:

2.9.1. Scope: Key Principles of OPFCC (& PFCC FRA) Transition Programme

2.9.2. Statutory Officer Framework

2.9.3. Governance

2.9.3.1. Decision Makers & Senior Responsible Officers

2.9.3.2. Structure: Strategic Steering Group, Programme Board, Workstreams / Working Groups

2.9.4. Costs: Resourcing Requirements

2.9.4.1. Transition Programme Team – New resources

2.9.4.2. OPFCC Existing Team – Dedicated time

2.9.5. Implementation Plan: Key Milestones & Target Dates

2.9.6. Key Risks & Mitigations

2.9.7. Key Opportunities

2.9.8. Key Outcomes of First Workstream Working Groups

3. Recommendations

3.1. That Members note:

3.1.1. the progress made in respect of the High Level Costed Implementation Plan

3.1.2. the approach to adherence to the core principle that the PFCC functionality transfers as a ringfenced entity sitting within the MCA, retaining its existing governance, structures and collaborations

3.1.3. the High Level Costed Implementation Plan published by the Commissioner.

Zoe Metcalfe

Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner for North Yorkshire

3 March 2023

Report Authors

Jenni Newberry, Director of Commissioning & Partnerships

Simon Dennis, Chief Executive & Monitoring Officer

Office of the Police, Fire & Crime Commissioner.

³ <https://www.northyorkshire-pfcc.gov.uk/decision-notice/dn-03-2023/>

This page is intentionally left blank